

JAN 10 2008

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

ANTIOCO GUARDADO-SANTANA,

Petitioner,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 07-70932

Agency No. A75-711-725

MEMORANDUM^{*}

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted January 7, 2008 ^{**}

Before: O'SCANNLAIN, SILVERMAN and GRABER, Circuit Judges.

Petitioner Antioco Guardado-Santana petitions for review of the Board of
Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") denial of his motion to reconsider the BIA's

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not
precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without
oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

07-70932

decision, dated November 13, 2006, denying petitioner's third motion to reconsider. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(b)(2).

The regulations provide that "a party may not seek reconsideration of a decision denying a previous motion to reconsider..." *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(b)(2). Therefore, the BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying petitioner's fourth motion to reconsider. *See id.*; *see also Iturribarria v. INS*, 321 F.3d 889, 895 - 96 (9th Cir. 2003). Petitioner has failed to identify any issue sufficiently substantial to warrant further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam). Accordingly, this petition for review is denied.

The temporary stay of removal confirmed by Ninth Circuit General Order 6.4(c) shall continue in effect until issuance of the mandate.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.